

Honorable David G. Estudillo

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

RAYMOND PARKER, AS PERSONAL)	
REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF)	
ARON CHRISTENSEN and ITS)	Case No. 3:24—cv-05679-DGE
BENEFICIARIES,)	
)	DEFENDANT ETHAN ASBACH'S
Plaintiff,)	ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST
vs.)	AMENDED COMPLAINT
)	
MICHAEL R. ASBACH, an individual; and)	
ETHAN ASBACH, an individual,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
)	

COMES NOW Defendant Ethan Asbach, by and through Joseph R. Kopta of Kopta & Macpherson, his attorney, and answers and alleges as follows:

1. In answer to the first sentence of Paragraph 1.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in its entirety. In answer to the second sentence of Paragraph 1.1, it is admitted that Ethan Asbach fatally shot a dog in self defense and in defense of his girlfriend KAB, believing it was a wild animal.

1 2. In answer to Paragraph 1.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
2 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
3 as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.

4 3. In answer to Paragraph 1.3 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
5 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
6 as to the truth or falsity of the first two sentences of Paragraph 1.3 and, therefore, denies the
7 same in their entirety. With respect to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 1.3,
8 these allegations are denied.
9

10 4. In answer to Paragraph 2.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
11 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
12 as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.

13 5. In answer to Paragraph 2.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, plaintiffs'
14 alleged causes of action speak for themselves. This answering defendant is currently without
15 information or knowledge sufficient to truthfully admit or deny the identity of the
16 beneficiaries of Aron Christensen's Estate and currently have no information to truthfully
17 admit or deny that his Estate and/or beneficiaries suffered damage, therefore these allegations
18 are denied. This answering defendant denies liability for such claims.
19

20 6. In answer to Paragraph 2.3 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, these
21 allegations are admitted.
22

23 7. In answer to Paragraph 3.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
24 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
25 as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.
26
27

1 This answering defendant alleges that this court has no personal jurisdiction over him because
2 an amended Summons was never served, and the Amended Complaint was not properly
3 served.

4 8. In answer to Paragraph 3.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, it is
5 admitted that the Asbach defendants are citizens of the state of Washington. Plaintiff's First
6 Amended Complaint is alleging damages in excess of \$75,000. Defendant denies the damage
7 claims.
8

9 9. In answer to Paragraph 3.3 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, it is denied
10 that all of the events alleged in this Complaint actually occurred. It is admitted that the
11 Asbachs reside in Thurston County, Washington. With respect to the remaining allegations
12 contained in Paragraph 3.3, this answering defendant is currently without information or
13 knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and,
14 therefore, denies the same in their entirety.
15

16 10. In answer to Paragraph 3.4 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the
17 language of Paragraph 3.4 does not assert a claim against this answering defendant which
18 requires a response.

19 11. In answer to Paragraph 4.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the
20 allegations brought forth by plaintiff speak for themselves. This answering defendant denies
21 that he was negligent and is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a
22 belief as to the truth or falsity as to whether any of his actions taken in self-defense and in
23 defense of KAB, caused or contributed to Aron's death and, therefore, denies these
24 allegations. This answering defendant further denies liability for negligence, battery, strict
25
26
27

1 liability for engaging in an abnormally dangerous activity and conversion, and any liability
2 under RCW 4.20.060.

3 12. In answer to Paragraphs 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 of Plaintiff's First Amended
4 Complaint, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient
5 to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in
6 their entirety.

7 13. In answer to Paragraph 5.6 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
8 answering defendant admits the first and last sentences. This answering defendant is
9 currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity
10 of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 5.6 and, therefore, denies the same in
11 their entirety.

12 14. In answer to Paragraph 5.7 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, these
13 allegations are admitted, except for the use of the word "purportedly".

14 15. In answer to Paragraph 5.8 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, it is
15 admitted that Ethan did plan to join Michael's camping party that weekend. The remaining
16 allegations contained in Paragraph 5.8 are admitted, except for the use of the word
17 "purportedly".

18 16. In answer to Paragraph 5.9 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, these
19 allegations are admitted.

20 17. In answer to Paragraph 5.10 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the first
21 sentence is admitted. In answer to the second sentence, this answering defendant admits that
22 Michael gave him permission to bring Michael's 9mm handgun to the trip. In answer to the
23 third sentence, it is denied that Michael did anything which could be described as "priming
24
25
26
27

1 the pump for disaster”. It is admitted that Michael allowed Ethan to bring the gun with him
2 and advised him that it could be used for protection against wild animals in the area. It is
3 admitted that Ethan brought along the handgun as his father permitted.

4 18. In answer to Paragraph 5.11 of Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint, it is
5 admitted that on the date of the incident, this answering defendant was 19 years old. With
6 respect to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 5.11, this answering defendant is
7 currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity
8 of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.
9

10 19. In answer to Paragraph 5.12 of Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint, this
11 answering defendant admits that he and Michael are experienced gun owners. This answering
12 defendant denies that he disregarded the law and basic rules of firearm safety. It is admitted
13 that Ethan and KAB. arrived at Walupt Lake campground and left the following day. The
14 remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 5.12 are denied, including the use of the word
15 “purportedly”.
16

17 20. In answer to Paragraph 5.13 of Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint, these
18 allegations are admitted.

19 21. In answer to Paragraph 5.14 of Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint, the first
20 sentence is admitted. It is admitted that this answering defendant feared a wild animal attack
21 and retrieved the firearm out of his pack and also obtained the magazine. The use of the word
22 “allegedly” and “purportedly” is false. With respect to the third sentence of Paragraph 5.14,
23 this answering defendant admits that he yelled at the animal to make it go away, but that the
24 animal did not leave. With respect to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 5.14,
25 it is admitted that this answering defendant’s headlamp illuminated the animal’s eyes which
26
27

1 were approximately 15-20 feet away. It is further admitted that this answering defendant fired
2 one shot, striking the animal. It is admitted that the animal died shortly thereafter while this
3 answering defendant was at the scene.

4 22. In answer to Paragraph 5.15 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
5 answering defendant admits that after viewing the animal that he shot, he saw a human body
6 which appeared to be deceased and discolored. To the best of his knowledge, based upon
7 information later obtained, the person this answering defendant observed was Aron and the
8 animal he observed was Aron's dog Buzzo.
9

10 23. In answer to Paragraph 5.16 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
11 answering defendant admits to seeing what he, at the time, speculated was a bullet hole in
12 Aron's body. It is admitted that this answering defendant only fired one shot. It is admitted
13 that this answering defendant speculated that the single shot must have struck Buzzo and
14 Aron. However, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge
15 sufficient to admit or deny that this answering defendant, while acting in self-defense and in
16 defense of KAB from what he believed to be a wild animal, also fatally struck Aron with the
17 same shot that hit his dog and, therefore, denies the same.
18

19 24. In answer to Paragraph 5.17 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
20 answering defendant denies collecting the spent round. It is admitted that this answering
21 defendant and KAB started hiking again between 11:40 p.m. and 12:15 a.m., in an
22 unsuccessful attempt to find Michael's campsite.
23

24 * * * *

25 * * * *

26 * * * *

1 25. In answer to Paragraph 5.18 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
2 answering defendant denies that he "returned" to Walupt Lake Campground. It is admitted
3 that this answering defendant and KAB continued to Walupt Lake Campground to try and
4 find his dad. The remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 5.18 are admitted.

5 26. In answer to Paragraph 5.19 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
6 answering defendant admits that he and KAB did not immediately seek help from other
7 campers or hikers. This answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge
8 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations contained in
9 Paragraph 5.19 and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.
10

11 27. In answer to Paragraph 5.20 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
12 answering defendant denies these allegations.

13 28. In answer to Paragraph 5.21 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
14 answering defendant admits he did not try to revive Aron because, to the best of his
15 knowledge and belief, Aron was already dead.
16

17 29. In answer to Paragraph 5.22 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
18 answering defendant recalls giving statements to law enforcement which he believes were
19 recorded. The recorded statements provided to law enforcement speak for themselves. To the
20 extent the information contained in this answering defendant's recorded statement(s) to law
21 enforcement are inconsistent with the description contained in Paragraph 5.22, these
22 allegations would be denied. In answer to the second sentence of Paragraph 5.22, this
23 answering defendant denies this allegation. This answering defendant admits that he did not
24 disclose to any people at the campground information about the incident. It is admitted that
25 this answering defendant and KAB drove back to Tenino. This answering defendant denies
26
27

1 that he waited for Michael to return from camping. Instead, this answering defendant called
2 Michael until Michael got into cell service range so he could report what happened. With
3 respect to the remaining allegations, this answering defendant is currently without information
4 or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations
5 and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.

6 30. In answer to Paragraph 5.23 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
7 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
8 as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.
9

10 31. In answer to Paragraph 5.24 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the
11 autopsy report referenced speaks for itself. This answering defendant is currently without
12 information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of these
13 allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.

14 32. In answer to Paragraph 5.25 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
15 answering defendant denies that he "killed" Aron. With respect to the remaining allegations
16 in Paragraph 5.2, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge
17 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies
18 the same in their entirety.
19

20 33. In answer to Paragraph 5.26 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
21 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
22 as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.
23

24 34. In answer to Paragraph 5.27 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
25 answering defendant denies the first, third and fourth sentences. In answer to the remaining
26 allegations, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient
27

1 to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in
2 their entirety.

3 35. In answer to Paragraph VI of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
4 answering defendant realleges his answers as set forth above.

5 36. In answer to Paragraph 6.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
6 allegation is admitted.

7 37. In answer to Paragraph 6.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
8 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
9 as to the truth or falsity of the allegation that a gunshot from a firearm used by defendant in
10 self-defense against an animal caused Aron's death and, therefore, denies that allegation. It is
11 admitted that Michael could have prohibited this answering defendant from taking his firearm.
12 With respect to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 6.2, this answering
13 defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the
14 truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.
15

16 38. In answer to Paragraph 6.3 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, it is
17 admitted that Michael knew this answering defendant was 19 years old on the date in
18 question. It is denied that this answering defendant was inexperienced and imprudent. It is
19 denied that Michael had reason to know that this answering defendant was likely to carry and
20 use the firearm in a negligent or reckless manner. With respect to the remaining allegations,
21 this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a
22 belief as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their
23 entirety.
24
25
26
27

1 39. In answer to Paragraph 6.4 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
2 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
3 as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.

4 40. In answer to Paragraph 6.5 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
5 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
6 as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.

7 41. In answer to Paragraph 6.6 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, as
8 referenced previously, this answering defendant is currently without information or
9 knowledge sufficient to admit or deny that Aron died as a result of being shot by defendant
10 who was acting to protect himself and KAB from what he believed was a wild animal and,
11 therefore, denies these allegations. With respect to the remaining allegations contained in
12 Paragraph 6.6, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge
13 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies
14 the same in their entirety.
15

16 42. In answer to Paragraph 6.7 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, as
17 referenced in the preceding paragraph, this answering defendant is without sufficient
18 information or knowledge to truthfully admit or deny that Aron died as a result of being
19 fatally shot by this answering defendant and, therefore, denies this allegation. This answering
20 defendant denies he was negligent when he shot at the animal in self-defense and denies
21 Aron's Estate is entitled to damages. Also, this answering defendant denies that Aron
22 suffered for hours alone in the woods. With respect to the remaining allegations contained in
23 Paragraph 6.7 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this answering defendant is currently
24
25
26
27

1 without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of these
2 allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.

3 43. In answer to Paragraph 7.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
4 answering defendant repeats and realleges all of the foregoing responses to plaintiff's
5 allegations in Sections I-VII.

6 44. In answer to Paragraphs 7.2 and 7.3 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint,
7 this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a
8 belief as to the truth or falsity of these allegations and, therefore, denies the same in their
9 entirety.
10

11 45. In answer to Paragraph 7.4 of Plaintiff's Complaint, as referenced above, this
12 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
13 as to the truth or falsity as to whether the death of Aron was caused by being shot by this
14 answering defendant who was acting in self-defense and the defense of KAB and, therefore,
15 denies these allegations. This answering defendant is currently without information or
16 knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the remaining allegations and,
17 therefore, denies the same in their entirety.
18

19 46. In answer to Paragraph 7.5 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, as
20 referenced above, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge
21 sufficient to admit or deny whether this answering defendant caused a fatal gunshot wound to
22 Aron and, therefore, denies this allegation.
23

24 47. In answer to Paragraph 7.6 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
25 answering defendant denies these allegations.
26
27

1 48. In answer to Paragraph 8.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
2 answering defendant repeats and realleges all of the foregoing responses to plaintiff's
3 allegations.

4 49. In answer to Paragraph 8.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, these
5 allegations are denied.

6 50. In answer to Paragraph 9.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
7 answering defendant repeats and realleges all of the foregoing responses to plaintiff's
8 allegations.

9 51. In answer to Paragraph 9.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
10 answering defendant was aware of the basic rules of firearm safety and how to apply these
11 rules as outlined in Paragraph 9.2(2) of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint. With respect to
12 the allegation that defendant was prohibited from carrying a pistol into the Gifford Pinchot
13 Wilderness area, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge
14 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of this allegation and, therefore, denies this
15 allegation in its entirety. With respect to the remaining allegations, this answering defendant
16 denies that the hiking trails in the area were "teeming with hikers and campers" at the time
17 this answering defendant acted to protect himself and KAB.
18

19 52. In answer to Paragraph 9.3 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, these
20 allegations are denied.
21

22 53. In answer to Paragraph 9.4 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
23 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
24 as to the whether Aron was struck by a bullet fired by Ethan and, therefore, denies this
25
26
27

1 allegation. With respect to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 9.4, these
2 allegations are denied.

3 54. In answer to Paragraph 9.5 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, these
4 allegations are denied.

5 55. In answer to Paragraph 10.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
6 answering defendant repeats and realleges all of the foregoing responses to plaintiff's
7 allegations.

8 56. In answer to Paragraph 10.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
9 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to admit or
10 deny whether he was statutorily prohibited from carrying a pistol in the area where the
11 incident occurred. It is denied that the act of carrying a pistol constitutes negligence per se.

12 57. In answer to the first sentence of Paragraph 10.3 of Plaintiff's First Amended
13 Complaint, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient
14 to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of this allegation and, therefore, denies the same in
15 their entirety. The remaining allegations of Paragraph 10.3 are denied.

16 58. In answer to Paragraph 10.4 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
17 allegation is denied.

18 59. In answer to Paragraph XI, this answering defendant repeats and realleges all
19 of the foregoing responses to Sections I through X above.

20 60. In answer to Paragraph 11.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, these
21 allegations are denied.

22 61. In answer to Paragraph 11.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, the first
23 sentence is admitted. The remaining allegations are denied.

1 62. In answer to Paragraph 12.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
2 answering defendant restates his answers to all the foregoing allegations in Sections 1 through
3 XI above.

4 63. In answer to Paragraphs 12.2, 12.3 and 12.4 of Plaintiff's First Amended
5 Complaint, these allegations are denied.

6 64. In answer to Paragraph 13.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
7 answering defendant realleges all of his foregoing answers as outlined in Sections I through
8 XII above.

9 65. In answer to the first sentence of Paragraph 13.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended
10 Complaint, these allegations are denied. With respect to the remaining allegations contained
11 in Paragraph 13.2, this answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge
12 sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of this allegation but denies that any
13 damages were proximately caused by this answering defendant's tortious conduct.
14

15 66. In answer to Paragraph 14.1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
16 answering defendant realleges all of his answers and allegations in Sections I through XIII, as
17 referenced above.
18

19 67. In answer to Paragraph 14.2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
20 answering defendant is currently without information or knowledge sufficient to form a belief
21 as to the truth or falsity of this allegation and, therefore, denies the same in their entirety.
22

23 68. In answer to Paragraph 14.3 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, this
24 answering defendant admits that he shot and killed the animal because he was in reasonable
25 fear for his safety and for the safety of his girlfriend KAB. The shooting of the animal was
26 justified under the circumstances.
27

1 69. In answer to Paragraph 14.4 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, these
2 allegations are denied.

3 70. In answer to Paragraph XV, Sections A-F, this answering defendant denies that
4 plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested. This answering defendant denies any and all other
5 claims not previously addressed herein.

6 71. This answering defendant reserves the right to amend this answer as discovery
7 continues.

8
9 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

10 1. Plaintiff has failed to state specific causes of action upon which relief can be
11 granted.

12 2. Plaintiff's intentional tort claims were not properly served or filed within the
13 two-year statute of limitations and are, therefore, not actionable.

14 3. Plaintiff has failed to properly serve an amended Summons and the First
15 Amended Complaint upon this answering defendant. As a result, this court has no jurisdiction
16 over Ethan Asbach.

17 4. Aron Christensen negligently allowed his dog Buzzo to be off leash in the
18 dark of night in a position where it was growling and threatening Ethan Asbach and his
19 girlfriend KAB, which resulted in Ethan's justified act of self-defense and defense of KAB.

20 5. Plaintiff's injuries and damages were proximately caused and/or contributed to
21 by the negligent actions of Aron Christensen including but not limited to leaving his dog off
22 leash, failing to control his dog's threatening behavior, failing to respond to Ethan Asbach's
23 verbal commands to his dog and failing to communicate with Ethan and KAB, if he was alive.
24
25
26
27

1 6. The conduct of this answering defendant was privileged and therefore is not
2 subject to liability in that this answering defendant was acting in self-defense and in defense
3 of a third person when he shot at a menacing animal loose in the wilderness.

4 7. Damages should be apportioned between the defendants and Aron Christensen,
5 pursuant to Washington law.

6 8. The beneficiaries and Aron Christensen may have failed to mitigate their
7 damages and may have failed to protect themselves from avoidable consequences.

8 9. Plaintiff's cause of action for battery, alleging this answering defendant
9 intended to cause harmful contact with Aron Christensen, is baseless in that there is no factual
10 basis or evidentiary support for such an argument.

11 10. Plaintiff's cause of action brought against this answering defendant based on
12 strict liability is baseless in that there is no factual basis or evidentiary support for such an
13 argument.

14 11. Plaintiff's claim against this answering defendant is based upon negligence per
15 se is baseless under the circumstances of this case.

16 12. Plaintiff's claim against this answering defendant for conversion is baseless
17 under the circumstances of this case.

18 13. This answering defendant reserves the right to amend his affirmative defenses
19 as discovery continues.

20 WHEREFORE, having fully answered the allegations contained in Plaintiffs'
21 Complaint, Defendant prays that said Complaint be dismissed and that the costs of this
22 proceeding be taxed against the plaintiff and that defendant has such other and further relief as
23 the Court may deem just and equitable.
24
25
26
27

1
2 Dated this 17th day of September 2024.
3
4

5 By: Joseph R. Kopta
6 Joseph R. Kopta, WSBA #17682
7 Kopta & Macpherson
8 5801 Soundview Dr., Suite 258
9 Gig Harbor, WA 98335
10 253-858-0785
11 253-851-6225 Fax
12 Joe@KoptaMacpherson.com
13 Of Attorneys for Defendant Ethan
14 Asbach
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I hereby certify that on September 17, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing
3 pleading with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification
4 of such filing to those registered to receive pleadings, including the following attorneys:
5

6 Lorenzo R. Leoni - lorenzo@olympialegal.com

7 Pilar C. French - frenchp@lanepowell.com

8 I also sent a copy by email attachment to each individual listed above.
9

10 SIGNED on 09/17/24

By: Joseph R. Kopta

Joseph R. Kopta, WSBA #17682

Kopta & Macpherson

5801 Soundview Dr., Suite 258

Gig Harbor, WA 98335

253-858-0785

253-851-6225 Fax

Joe@KoptaMacpherson.com

Of Attorneys for Defendant Ethan

Asbach
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27